

(b) Verify that if a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on a set  $X$  is induced by a metric  $\rho$  on the set  $X$ , then the uniformity  $2_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{U}}$  on the family  $\mathcal{M}$  of all bounded, non-empty closed subsets of  $(X, \rho)$  coincides with the uniformity induced by the Hausdorff metric.

(c) (Michael [1951]) Show that for every uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on a topological space  $X$ , the topology on  $Z(X)$  induced by the uniformity  $2_{Z(X)}^{\mathcal{U}}$  coincides with the Vietoris topology.

(d) Verify that if the uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is totally bounded, then the space  $(2^X, 2^{\mathcal{U}})$  also is totally bounded.

(e) Give an example of a complete uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  such that the space  $(2^X, 2^{\mathcal{U}})$  is not complete.

*Hint.* Consider the uniformity on the real line generated by the base consisting of all sets of the form  $\bigcup\{A \times A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countable cover of the real line by pairwise disjoint sets.

(f) Show that if the uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is compact, then the space  $(2^X, 2^{\mathcal{U}})$  also is compact.

**Cardinal functions IV** (see Problems 1.7.12, 1.7.13, 2.7.9–2.7.11, 3.12.4, 3.12.7–3.12.11, 3.12.12(h) and 3.12.12(j))

**8.5.17.** The smallest cardinal number  $\mathfrak{m} \geq \aleph_0$  such that on a Tychonoff space  $X$  there exists a uniformity of weight  $\leq \mathfrak{m}$  is called the *uniform weight* of the space  $X$  and is denoted by  $w(X)$ .

(a) (Schaerf [1968]) Show that for every Tychonoff space  $X$  we have  $w(X) = c(X)u(X)$ .

*Hint* (Juhász [1971a]). Apply Remark 8.2.4.

(b) Observe that for every Tychonoff space  $X$  we have  $w(X) = e(X)u(X)$  and deduce that  $w(X) = f(X)u(X)$  for every cardinal function  $f$  in the diagram in Problem 3.12.7(e), except for  $f = k$ .

(c) Give an example of a topological space  $X$  of weight  $\mathfrak{m}$  and of uniformities  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$  on the space  $X$  such that  $w(\mathcal{U}_1) > \mathfrak{m} > w(\mathcal{U}_2)$ .

### Strong inclusions

**8.5.18** (Alexandroff and Ponomarev [1959]). Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space and let  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the families of all open and all closed subsets of  $X$  respectively. A relation  $\ll$  between members of  $\mathcal{C}$  and members of  $\mathcal{O}$  is called a *strong inclusion on the space  $X$*  if it has properties (SI1)–(SI7) formulated in Section 8.4.

Show that for every  $T_1$ -space  $X$  there exists a one-to-one correspondence between proximities and strong inclusions on the space  $X$ .

### Proximally continuous mappings of metric spaces

**8.5.19** (Efremovič [1952]). (a) Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be metric spaces and let  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  denote the proximities induced by  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Show that a mapping  $f$  of  $X$  to  $Y$  is proximally continuous with respect to  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  if and only if  $f$  is uniformly continuous with respect to  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  (cf. Exercise 8.1.A(a)).

*Hint* (Isbell [1964]). If a mapping  $f$  is not uniformly continuous, then there exist an  $\epsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots$  of points of  $X$  such that  $\lim \rho(x_i, x'_i) = 0$  and  $\sigma(f(x_i), f(x'_i)) \geq \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define an infinite set  $M$  of natural numbers such that  $\sigma(f(x_i), f(x'_j)) \geq \epsilon/4$  for all  $i, j \in M$ .

(b) Note that two metrics  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  on a set  $X$  are uniformly equivalent (see Exercise 4.1.B(b)) if and only if they induce the same proximity.

## Uniformities and proximities

**8.5.20** (Smirnov [1952]). Show that if a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on a space  $X$  induces a proximity  $\delta$  on the space  $X$ , then the proximity  $\mathcal{U}_0$  defined in Problem 8.5.7, the finest uniformity on the space  $X$  which is totally bounded and coarser than  $\mathcal{U}$ , also induces the proximity  $\delta$ , i.e., the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}_0$  coincides with the uniformity induced by the proximity  $\delta$  induced by the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . Observe that the Samuel compactification of a Tychonoff space  $X$  with respect to a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  is the compactification which corresponds to the proximity  $\delta$  induced by the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  (cf. Theorem 8.4.13).

**8.5.21** (Smirnov [1952]). Let  $\delta$  be a proximity on a set  $X$ . A cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of the set  $X$  is called *weakly  $\delta$ -uniform* if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots$  of covers of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$  is a star refinement of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$
- (2) For every pair  $A, B$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $A \delta B$  there exists for each  $i$  a set  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  such that  $A \cap A_i \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap A_i$ .

(a) Show that every  $\delta$ -uniform cover of  $X$  is weakly  $\delta$ -uniform.

(b) Prove that for every weakly  $\delta$ -uniform cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  there exists a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  on the set  $X$  which induces the proximity  $\delta$  and contains the set  $\bigcup \{A \times A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**8.5.22** (Katětov [1959], Dowker [1961]). Verify that the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of all covers of the set  $X = N \times N$ , where  $N$  is the set of natural numbers, which have a refinement of the form  $\{(x) \times A : x \in N, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite cover of  $N$  by pairwise disjoint sets, has properties (UC1)–(UC4). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the uniformity generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $\delta$  be the proximity on  $X$  induced by  $\mathcal{U}$ ; check that  $\Delta \delta [(N \times N) \setminus \Delta]$ . Show that the covers  $\{(x) \times N\}_{x \in N}$  and  $\{N \times (y)\}_{y \in N}$  are both weakly  $\delta$ -uniform and that the only common refinement of those covers is the cover consisting of all one-point subsets of  $X$ . Deduce that in the family of all uniformities on the set  $X$  which induce the proximity  $\delta$  there is no finest uniformity.

**8.5.23** (Smirnov [1952]). Prove that if the proximity  $\delta$  on a set  $X$  is induced by a metric on  $X$ , then in the family of all uniformities on the set  $X$  which induce the proximity  $\delta$  there exists a finest uniformity.

*Hint.* Show that if for an entourage  $V$  of the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  there exist two sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $x'_1, x'_2, \dots$  of points of  $X$  such that  $|x_i - x'_i| \geq V$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then for any entourage  $W$  of the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  satisfying  $4W \subset V$  there exists an infinite set  $M$  of natural numbers such that  $|x_i - x'_j| \geq W$  for all  $i, j \in M$ .